Instructors: Albert Liu, Nicholas A. Kotov

Particles and Particle Systems: Patents



Journal club assignments for L11

Lecture 11 (4/2)			
Group	Paper Title		
А	P2_doshi-et-al-2009-red-blood-cell-mimicking-synthetic-biomaterial-particles		
В	P1_nmat1486		
с	P3_Advanced Materials - 2012 - Maeda - Controlled Synthesis of 3D Multi-Compartmental Particles with Centrifuge-Based		
D	P4_s41598-019-49244-4		

You need to log in using your umich.edu account in order to access this poll

Lecture 11 Poll (a): biphasic electrified jetting

• For equal volumes of the two phases (A and B), and a relatively large surface free energy γ_{AB} , which of the following structures would you expect to obtain?





Short URL <u>https://shorturl.at/GHWY8</u>

You need to log in using your umich.edu account in order to access this poll

Lecture 11 Poll (b): Red blood cell-mimicking synthetic biomaterial particles

- Of the following choices, which is NOT a reason the authors decided to use Polystyrene hollow spheres as the precursor for their RBC like particles?
 - A. hollow polystyrene particles, upon solvent or heat induced fluidization, can collapse into an RBC shape
 - B. polystyrene is biocompatible
 - C. hollow polystyrene spheres are readily available commercially
 - D. starting with polystyrene microspheres with high elastic modulus mimics the genesis of RBCs in nature





Long URL <u>https://forms.gle/aox1c4LH3aArtJK68</u> Short URL https://shorturl.at/hxGHM

Technology Transfer

KOTOV LAB / TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

2022-present Founder, Chief Technology Officer, Tuebor Energy, Ypsilanti, MI

2018-present Chief Technology Officer, Photon Semantics, Detroit, MI

- 2017-present Founder, Photon Semantics, Ypsilanti, MI, USA
- 2015-2020 Board of Directors, Elegus Technologies, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- 2013-2020 Founder, Elegus Technologies, Ann Arbor, MI
- 2010-2012 Board of Directors, 3D Biomatrix, Ann Arbor, MI
- 2009-2010 Chief Executive Officer, 3D Biomatrix, Ann Arbor, MI
- 2008-2016 Founder, 3D Biomatrix, Ann Arbor, MI
- 2003-2016 Chief Technology Officer, Founder, Nico Technologies, Ypsilanti, MI
- 2000-2004 Consultant, Nomadics Inc., Stillwater, OK
- 2003-2005 Consultant, Boston Scientific, Maple Grove, MN
- 2000-2004 Consultant, Nomadics Inc., Stillwater, OK
- 2002 Consultant, Avery Dennison, Glendale, CA
- 1999-2001 Consultant, Ciba Vision, Atlanta, GA



Nick Kotov Elected to National Academy of Inventors / Biointerfaces Institute / University of Michigan





Particles and Particle Systems: Patents

Instructors: Albert Liu, Nicholas A. Kotov



Particles and Particle Systems: Patents

Instructors: Albert Liu, Nicholas A. Kotov



Invention: Paper

Inverted Colloidal Crystals as Three-Dimensional Cell Scaffolds

Nicholas A. Kotov, Yuanfang Liu, Shaopeng Wang, Colin Cumming, Mohammad Eghtedari, Gracie Vargas, Massoud Motamedi, Joan Nichols, and Joaquin Cortiella

Langmuir 2004, 20, 19, 7887–7892



Invention: Patent

Cell culture well-plates having inverted colloidal crystal scaffolds USPTO 20090041825

Abstract: An artificial bone marrow construct comprising a substrate having at least one well; a three dimensional biocompatible polymer matrix comprising a transparent polymer network containing microspherical voids, wherein the microspherical voids are each connected to at least one other void through interconnecting pores; at least one Layer-by-Layer (LBL) coating on a surface of at least one of the polymer network, voids and pores, a population of bone marrow cells comprising stem cells and stromal cells; and at least one bioactive agent. An artificial immune network comprising a polymer matrix with a population of immune cells comprising B-cells and T-cells is disclosed. Methods for testing the toxicity of drugs and other agents against bone marrow cells and methods for making universal blood using the artificial bone marrow constructs are also disclosed.

Filed: August 12, 2008 Publication date: February 12, 2009 Inventors: Nicholas A. Kotov, Joaquin Cortiella, Joan E. Nichols

Challenge of Drug Discovery



\$1 B and 12 to 15 years



Inadequacy of the traditional 2D cell cultures Difficulties, long duration, and cost of animal and human tests

Failures of Vioxx, Tysabri, hexamethonium, Byetta, Torcetrapib, Semagacestat, Marimastat, (all FDA approved), Erbitux

3D Biomatrix provides early stage

3D cell culture tools more predictive than 2D, less expensive/complicated than animals less liability than humans



Value Proposition

Inserts in the well-plates,

first artificial bone marrow.

Liver toxicity model: 3D more accurate response than 2D





Competitive Advantages:

designed specifically for drug discovery

standard multi-well format tissue-like geometry,

transparent materials for imaging

all mainstream drug discovery instruments

3D Biomatrix



Accelerated drug discovery in tissue mimics

Perfecta 3D[™] Scaffolds



Perfecta 3D[™] Scaffolds

Competitor



Wagistrasse 27A, 8952, Schlieren, Switzerland

We won the lawsuit!



https://shop.insphero.com

Billions spent on patents

- 2010 2012
- Smartphone industry
- > \$20 Billion on patent litigation



- For Apple & Google patent spending exceeded research & development spending *for the first time ever*
- Patent litigation destroys over \$60 billion in firm wealth each year

Patent Litigation



Dave Schmitt, Engineering Librarian, UC San Diego Library

What is a Patent?

An official document, issued by the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO), granting property rights to the inventor or assignee (owner of the patent).

Protection is 14-20 years from the date of application in the U.S. (if maintenance fees are pai).

US Patents are effective only in the U.S., territories and possessions.

Patents from other countries protect IR rights there

Rights Granted by Patents

NOT the right to make, use, offer for sale, sell or import, but

the right to EXCLUDE OTHERS from making,



The Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Has received an application for a patent for a new and useful invention. The title and description of the invention are enclosed. The requirements of law have been complied with, and it has been determined that a patent on the invention shall be granted

United States Patent

Grants to the person(s) having title to this patent the right to exclude others from making, using, offering for sale, or selling the invention throughout the United States of America or importing the invention into the United States of America, and if the invention is a process, of the right to exclude oth ers from using, offering for sale or selling throughout the United States of America, or mporting into the United States of America, products made by that process, for the term set forth in 35 U.S.C. 154(a)(2) or (c)(1), subject to the payment of maintenance fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. 41(b). See the Maintenance Fee Notice on the

Michelle K. Lee

using, offering for sale, selling or importing the invention.

Patents may be licensed by the assignee to another party.

Except undergraduate students, inventions from UM assigned typically to UM

Minimum Fees Over Patent Life

	Regular	Small entity	Micro entity
Filing	\$ 280	\$ 140	\$ 70
Search	\$ 600	\$ 300	\$ 150
Examination	\$ 720	\$ 360	\$ 180
Issue	\$ 960	\$ 480	\$ 240
Maintenance			
3.5 yrs	\$ 1,600	\$ 800	\$ 400
7.5 yrs	\$ 3,600	\$ 1,800	\$ 900
11.5 yrs	\$ 7,400	\$ 3,700	\$ 1,850
Total	\$15,160	\$ 7,850	\$ 3,790

Attorney costs to prepare and prosecute the patent: ~ \$20,000-100,000

First to Invent vs. First to File

Leahy-Smith America Invents Act was signed in 2011

First to file became law March 16, 2013

Smaller fees



Market incumbents become further entrenched

A startup that relies on patents for protection from competitive risk lose capital, strategic partners, and time for R&D and testing.

Patents vs Papers

Scientific publication

Patent publication

Content	Mainly basic research findings	Technical solutions to a problem
Access	Paid access or open access or depending on the journal	Open access via public patent databases
Quality filter	Peer review	Patent examination process
Indexing	Scientific papers can have inconsistent bibliographical details, meaning that they can be hard to index.	Patent publications have a (more or less) standardised numbering system, meaning that it is possible to fully index them.
Subject categorization	Core journals by subject field	Patent classifications by technology field
Reason to publish	Scientific recognition	Economic (gain commercial monopoly, licensing, etc.)
Who publishes	Research entities (mainly universities)	Companies and to a lesser degree research entities and private persons (inventors)
Cost	Sometimes fee based and others for free (depending on journal prestige)	Fee based (depending on patent office and coverage)
Content duplicity	No (the article can only be published in one single journal)	Yes (as patents are territorial, the same invention can generate several different patent documents for each country)
Timeliness	Article publishing depends on the efficiency of the peer review process of the journal	Patent is not published before 18 month after filing

Patents vs Papers

Scientific publication	Patent publication
Authorship is negotiable	Inventorship is a matter of law

Must have done the work

Reduction to practice is possible

Disclosure of ideas interferes with patentability

Prior results and analogous systems are acceptable

Disclosure of ideas enhances applicability

Prior results and analogous systems result in rejection

Types of Patents

Utility Patents - 20 years from filing

- Chemical
- Mechanical
- Electrical
- Biological

Design Patents – 14 years from issue (no maintenance fees)

Plant Patents – 20 years from filing (no maintenance fees)

For Complex Particle Systems, Utility Patents are more likely.

U.S. Patents Granted in 2013 by Type



Utility Patent Example

US 20090041825A1

(51)

(52) (57)

(19) United States

(12) Patent Application Publication (Kotov et al.

(10)	Pub.	No.:	US	2009/004	182	25 A1
(43)	Pub.	Date:	:	Feb.	12,	2009

Publication Classification

(54)	CELL CULTURE WELL-PLATES HAVING
	INVERTED COLLOIDAL CRYSTAL
	SCAFFOLDS

76)	Inventors:	Nicholas A. Kotov, Ypsilanti, MI
		(US): Joaquin Cortiella,
		Galveston, TX (US); Joan E.
		Nichols, Galveston, TX (US)

Correspondence Address: HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 (US)

- (21) Appl. No.: 12/228,419
- (22) Filed: Aug. 12, 2008

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 11/656,362, filed on Jan. 22, 2007.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/772,283, filed on Feb. 10, 2006.

Int CL	
A61K 35/28	(2006.01)
A61F 2/02	(2006.01)
C12N 5/02	(2006.01)
A61P 43/00	(2006.01)
C12Q 1/02	(2006.01)
U.S. Cl	424/423; 435/29; 424/93.71; 435/374
	ABSTRACT

An artificial bone marrow construct comprising a substrate having at least one well; a three dimensional biocompatible polymer matrix comprising a transparent polymer network containing microspherical voids, wherein the microspherical voids are each connected to at least one other void through inter-connecting pores; at least one LBL coating on a surface of at least one of the polymer network, voids and pores, a population of bone marrow cells comprising stem cells and stromal cells; and at least one bioactive agent. An artificial immune network comprising a polymer matrix with a population of immune cells comprising B-cells and T-cells is disclosed. Methods for testing the toxicity of drugs and other agents against bone marrow cells and methods for making universal blood using the artificial bone marrow constructs are also disclosed.

Covers inventions that function in a unique manner to produce a utilitarian result





Cell culture well-plates having inverted colloidal crystal scaffolds Publication number: 20090041825

Filed: August 12, 2008 Publication date: February 12, 2009 Inventors: Nicholas A. Kotov, et al

Design Patent Example

				US00D	548333S	
(12)	Unite Andre et	d States Design Patent	(10) Patent (45) Date of	No.: f Patent	US : **	5 D648,333 S *Nov. 8, 2011
(54)	PORTABI	LE COMPUTER	(56)	Referen	ices Cited	
(75)	Inventors:	Bartley K. Andre, Menlo Park, CA (US); Daniel J. Coster, San Francisco, CA (US); Daniele De Iuliis, San Francisco, CA (US); Richard P. Howarth, San Francisco, CA (US); Jonathan P. Ive, San Francisco, CA (US); US); Steva Jobs, Palo Alto, CA (US); Duncan Robert Kerr, San Francisco, CA (US); Shin Nishibori, Pertola Valley, CA (US); Shin Satzger, Menlo Park, CA (US); Douglas B. Satzger, Menlo Park, CA (US); Boughas D. Satzger, Menlo Park, CA (US); Ca (US); Eugene Anthony Whang, San Francisco, CA (US); Rice Zorkendorfer, San Francisco, CA (US)	US D425,874 S 6,067,224 A D43,821 S D443,397 S D443,397 S D443,397 S D493,785 S D493,785 S D493,785 S D493,785 S D493,785 S D493,785 S D41,746 S D41,177 S D41,476 S D	 PATENT \$'2000 \$'2000 \$'2000 10'2002 10'2002 10'2002 12'2003 4'2004 \$'2005 3'2006 6'2006 12'2006 12'2006 12'2006 12'2006 12'2006 12'2008 6'2008 7'2008 8'2006 3'2006 	DOCUMI Tanimura Nobuchi Mizuno Andre et a Andre et a Andre et a Andre et a Nakajima Lin Yun et al. Tago Yunnada Andre et a Andre et a	ENTS D14318 D14321 361/679.06 L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
(73)	Assignee:	Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA (US)	D604,291 S D604,292 S	* 11/2009 11/2009	Andre et a Andre et a	L D14/315
(**)	Term:	14 Years	D604,293 S	• 11/2009	Andre et a	D14/315
(21)	Appl. No.:	29/384,006	D611,044 S	 3/2010 3/2010 	Andre et a	I D14/327 D14/327
(22)	Filed:	Jan. 25, 2011	D616,880 S	• 6/2010	Andre et a	
·/	Rel	ated U.S. Application Data	D623,645 S D625,717 S	9/2010 10/201 10/2010 10/2010	Andre et a Andre et a	l
(60)	Continuation Jul. 23, 20 continuation Dec. 11, 20 continuation May 22, 20 division of 15, 2008,	on of application No. 29/366,369, filed on 10, now Pat. No. Des. 633,087, which is a n of application No. 29/351,847, filed on 009, now Pat. No. Des. 623,645, which is a a of application No. 29/337,518, filed on 009, now Pat. No. Des. 606,988, which is a 'application No. 10/152,008, filed on Oct. now Pat. No. Des. 604,292, which is a	D635,566 S 2005/0018396 A1 2005/0041378 A1 O Apple PowerBook G 2001. Apple PowerBook G 2003. Apple PowerBook Pro-	4/2011 1/2005 2/2005 THER PU 4 Titanium, 4 Aluminum	Andre et a Nakajima Hamada et BLICATIC available at n, available a	l. et al. al. JONS Teast as early as Jan. 1. t least as early as Jan. 1.

continuation of application No. 29/326,082, filed on Oct. 10, 2008, now Pat. No. Des. 604,290.

- (51) LOC (9) CL 14-02 D14/315
- (52) U.S. Cl. ..
- (58) Field of Classification Search D14/315-327; D18/1, 2, 7, 11; 235/145 A, 145 R; 341/22, 341/23; 345/104, 156, 168, 169, 173; 361/679.08. 361/679.09, 679.11, 679.26, 679.27

See application file for complete search history.



Appendix in U.S. Appl. No. 29/201,636 entitled "Electronic Device" filed Mar. 17, 2004, now USPN D504,889. Photographs of Sony VAIO PCG-4G1L, available at least as early as

May 8, 2006. Apple MacBook Air, available Jan. 15, 2008, http://images.apple. com/macbookair/images/design_gal01_20080115.jpg. Apple MacBook Air, available Jan. 15, 2008, http://images.apple. com/macbookair/images/design_gal02_20080115.jpg. Apple MacBook Air, available Jan. 15, 2008, http://images.apple.

com/macbookair/images/design_gal03_20080115.jpg.

Unique, ornamental, or visible shape or surface ornamentation of an article or object, even if only on a computer screen



Plant Patent Example

US PP15.726 P2

Apr. 19, 2005

Plt./327

(58) Field of Search

Primary Examiner-Anne Marie Grunberg

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-C. A. Whealy

Assistant Examiner-Annette H Para

References Cited

PUBLICATIONS

UPOV-ROM GTITM Computer Database 2004/04, GTI Jouve Retrieval Software, Citation for 'Dueimgabri'.*

ABSTRACT

A new and distinct cultivar of Zonal Geranium plant named

'Ducimgabri', characterized by its upright, somewhat out-

wardly spreading and rounded plant habit; freely branching

habit; foliage with distinct zonation pattern; freely and early

flowering habit; and dark pink-colored semi-double flowers.

2

(12) United	States	Plant	Patent	(10) Patent No.:
Dümmen				(45) Date of Patent:

(56)

(57)

* cited by examiner

- (54) GERANIUM PLANT NAMED 'DUEIMGABRI'
- (50)Latin Name: Pelargonium×hortorum Varietal Denomination: Dueimgabri

- (75) Inventor: Marga Dümmen, Rheinberg (DE)
- (73) Assignee: Dümmen Jungpflanzen GbR, Rheinberg (DE)
- Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this (*) Notice: patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 10/859,497
- (22) Filed: Jun. 1, 2004
- Foreign Application Priority Data (30)
- Apr. 23, 2001 (QZ) PBR 20019999
- (51) Int. Cl.⁷ A01H 5/00 (52) U.S. Cl. Plt./327

1 Botanical classification/cultivar denomination: Pelargoniumxhortorum cultivar Ducimgabri.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a new and distinct cultivar 5 of Zonal Geranium plant, botanically known as Pelargonium×hortorum, and hereinafter referred to by the name 'Dueimeabri'.

The new Zonal Geranium is a product of a planned breeding program conducted by the Inventor in Rheinberg, 10 Germany. The objective of the breeding program was to develop new freely and early flowering Zonal Geraniums with attractive flower and foliage colors.

The new Zonal Geranium originated from a crosspollination made by the Inventor in May, 1998, of a propri-15 etary selection of Pelargonium peltatum identified as code number N-02-05, not patented, as the female, or seed, parent with a proprietary selection of Pelargonium peltatum identified as code number E-12-250, not patented, as the male, or pollen, parent. The cultivar Dueimgabri was discovered 29 and selected by the Inventor as a flowering plant within the progeny from this cross-pollination in a controlled environment in Rheinberg, Germany in April, 2001.

Asexual reproduction of the new cultivar by terminal vegetative cuttings at Rheinberg, Germany since July, 2001, has shown that the unique features of this new Zonal Geranium are stable and reproduced true to type in successive generations of asexual reproduction.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The following traits have been repeatedly observed and are determined to be the unique characteristics of 'Dueimgabri'. These characteristics in combination distinguish 'Ducimgabri' as a new cultivar and distinguish it from other known Zonal Geranium cultivars:

1. Upright, somewhat outwardly spreading and rounded plant habit.

1 Drawing Sheet

- 2. Freely branching habit.
- 3. Foliage with distinct zonation pattern.
- 4. Freely and early flowering habit.

5. Dark pink-colored semi-double flowers. Compared to plants of the parent selections, plants of the new Zonal Geranium differ in flower coloration.

The new Zonal Geranium can be compared to plants of the cultivar HWD Gabrieli, disclosed in U.S. Plant Pat. No. 9,745. In side-by-side comparisons conducted in Rheinberg, Germany, plants of the new Zonal Geranium differed from plants of the cultivar HWD Gabrieli in the following characteristics:

1. Plants of the new Zonal Geranium had slightly smaller flowers than plants of the cultivar HWD Gabrieli.

2. Flowers of plants of the new Zonal Geranium were darker in color than flowers of plants of the cultivar HWD Gabrieli.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PHOTOGRAPH

The accompanying colored photograph illustrates the overall appearance of the new cultivar, showing the colors as true as it is reasonably possible to obtain in colored reproductions of this type. Flower and foliage colors in the photograph may differ slightly from the color values cited in the detailed botanical description which accurately describe the colors of the new Zonal Geranium. The photograph comprises a side perspective view of a typical flowering 30 plant of 'Ducimgabri' grown in a container.

DETAILED BOTANICAL DESCRIPTION

The cultivar Ducimgabri has not been observed under all possible environmental conditions. The phenotype may vary somewhat with variations in environment, such as temperature and light intensity, without, however, any variance in genotype.

Asexually reproducible plants

Most often flowers

Requirements to Patents

Under U.S. patent law, an invention is patentable only if it meets the following four requirements:

The invention must be subject matter eligible

Processes, machines, articles of manufacture, and compositions of matter; cannot patent songs, characters in literature, names... Those are subject to Copyright Law.

The invention must be new.

Cannot patent things again. Cannot patent known items.

The invention must be useful.

Has to address technological needs.

The invention must be non-obvious.

The invention is compared to the prior art and a determination is made whether the differences in the new invention would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the type of technology used in the invention

Articles of manufacture

The structure of a complex particle

Process

A process for making complex particles

Machine

A machine for making complex particles

Composition of matter

A new material for complex particles

Improvements to the above

Improvements



Articles of manufacture

The structure of a complex particle

Process

A process for making complex particles

Machine

A machine for making complex particles

Composition of matter

A new material for complex particles

Improvements to the above

Improvements



Articles of manufacture

The structure of a complex particle

Process

A process for making complex particles

Machine

A machine for making complex particles

Composition of matter

A new material for complex particles

Improvements to the above

Improvements





Laws of nature (e.g. thermodynamics)

Abstract ideas (a formula or algorithm)

Physical phenomena (e.g. lightning)

Literary, dramatic, or artistic work (which are copyrightable)





Requirement of "Useful"



US006637447B2

(10)	Patent No.:	US	6,637,447 B2
(45)	Date of Patent:		Oct. 28, 2003

5,058,757	A	*	10/1991	Proa 220/739
5,086,712	Α	*	2/1992	Clark 108/50.12
5,115,939	Α	*	5/1992	Porter 220/705
5,186,196	Α	*	2/1993	Gorka et al 135/16
5,365,966	Α	*	11/1994	McLaren 135/16
5,396,915	A	*	3/1995	Bomar 135/16
D361,018	S	*	8/1995	Drape et al D7/619
5,544,783	Α	*	8/1996	Conigliaro 220/735
D394,589	S	*	5/1998	King D7/707
5,823,496	Α		10/1998	Foley et al 248/314

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Robert Canfield (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Robert Platt Bell

(57) ABSTRACT

The present invention provides a small umbrella ("Beerbrella") which may be removably attached to a beverage container in order to shade the beverage container from the direct rays of the sun. The apparatus comprises a small umbrella approximately five to seven inches in diameter, although other appropriate sizes may be used within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Suitable advertising and/or logos may be applied to the umbrella surface for promotional purposes. The umbrella may be attached to the beverage container by any one of a number of means, including clip, strap, cup, foam insulator, or as a coaster or the like. The umbrella shaft may be provided with a pivot to allow the umbrella to be suitably angled to shield the sun or for aesthetic purposes. In one embodiment, a pivot ioint and counterweight may be provided to allow the

(12) United States Patent McMullin et al.

(54) BEERBRELLA

- (76) Inventors: Mason Schott McMullin, #7 Ridgetop St., St. Louis, MO (US) 63117; Robert Platt Bell, 8033 Washington Rd., Alexandria, VA (US) 22308; Mark Andrew See, 8033 Washington Rd., Alexandria, VA (US) 22308
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 33 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 09/981,966
- (22) Filed: Oct. 19, 2001
- (65) Prior Publication Data

US 2003/0075208 A1 Apr. 24, 2003

- (51) Int. Cl.⁷ A45B 11/00; A45B 13/00;

- 220/703; 215/386, 400; D3/5; 248/519, 534, 231.81, 230.7; 206/217
 - References Cited

(56)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

973,731 A * 10/1910 Watkins

The invention has a useful purpose

The invention will operate to perform the useful purpose

Requirement of "Useful"





(12)	United	States	Patent
	McMullin	et al.	



US006637447B2

(10) Patent No.:	US	6,637,447 B2
(45) Date of Patent:		Oct. 28, 2003

(54)	BEERBRELLA	
------	------------	--

- (76) Inventors: Mason Schott McMullin, #7 Ridgetop St., St. Louis, MO (US) 63117; Robert Platt Bell, 8033 Washington Rd., Alexandria, VA (US) 22308; Mark Andrew See, 8033 Washington Rd., Alexandria, VA (US) 22308
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 33 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 09/981,966

(56)

- (22) Filed: Oct. 19, 2001
- (65) Prior Publication Data

US 2003/0075208 A1 Apr. 24, 2003

- (51) Int. Cl.⁷ A45B 11/00; A45B 13/00;
- A45B 23/00
- - - 534, 231.81, 230.7; 206/217

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

973,731 A * 10/1910 Watkins

5,058,757	Α	*	10/1991	Proa 220/739
5,086,712	Α	*	2/1992	Clark 108/50.12
5,115,939	Α	*	5/1992	Porter 220/705
5,186,196	Α	*	2/1993	Gorka et al 135/16
5,365,966	Α	*	11/1994	McLaren 135/16
5,396,915	Α	*	3/1995	Bomar 135/16
D361,018	S	*	8/1995	Drape et al D7/619
5,544,783	Α	*	8/1996	Conigliaro 220/735
D394,589	S	*	5/1998	King D7/707
5,823,496	Α		10/1998	Foley et al 248/314

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Robert Canfield (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Robert Platt Bell

(57) ABSTRACT

The present invention provides a small umbrella ("Beerbrella") which may be removably attached to a beverage container in order to shade the beverage container from the direct rays of the sun. The apparatus comprises a small umbrella approximately five to seven inches in diameter, although other appropriate sizes may be used within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Suitable advertising and/or logos may be applied to the umbrella surface for promotional purposes. The umbrella may be attached to the beverage container by any one of a number of means, including clip, strap, cup, foam insulator, or as a coaster or the like. The umbrella shaft may be provided with a pivot to allow the umbrella to be suitably angled to shield the sun or for aesthetic purposes. In one embodiment, a pivot ioint and counterweight may be provided to allow the

Requirement of "New"

The invention has not been disclosed before

Public disclosure includes written (articles), verbal (conference presentation), sale, or offer for sale (marketing), or previous patent.

In the US, there is a one-year grace period after public disclosure if the disclosure came from you or was derived from you.



Requirement of "New"

Three types of novelty generally recognized:

Physical differences (Different shape, value, size, color, composition)

New Combinations (New combination of old features, new arrangement of elements)

New Use (For an old item of hardware, process, etc.)

The invention is non-obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Non-obvious = producing new and unforeseeable results

Factor in previous failure of others, solves an unsolved problem, commercial success

Requirement of "New"



Structure of the Patents

Title (long and detailed; often convoluted, essential for patent searches)

Inventors: (strictly regulated; tough contribution threshold requirement)

Abstract (brief description of problem, solution, and realizations)

Background Art (describe the what is the state-of the art preceding your invention)

Summary of Invention (Here you describe the concept of the patent) Problem Solution Advantages Description of drawings

Description of Embodiments (Give an example how your invention will be used)

Claims (2-3 bullet points describing what would look like claims in the patent)

Structure of the Patents: Example

United States Patent [19]

Kwoleck

- [54] WHOLLY AROMATIC CARBOCYCLIC POLYCARBONAMIDE FIBER HAVING ORIENTATION ANGLE OF LESS THAN ABOUT 45°
- [75] Inventor: Stephanie Louise Kwoleck, Wilmington, Del.
- [73] Assignee: E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Del.
- [22] Filed: Sept. 7, 1971
- [21] Appl. No.: 178,184

Related U.S. Application Data

- [63] Continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 827,345, May 23, 1969, Pat. No. 3,671,542, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 736,410, June 12, 1968, abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 644,851, June 9, 1967, abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 556,934, June 13, 1966, abandoned.

	x ' x		F, 264/235
51]	Int. Cl	C08g	20/20, C08g 20/38
58]	Field of Search 260,	/78 R,	78 A, 78 S, 47 CZ

[11]

3,819,587

[45] June 25, 1974

References Cited

CERT OF CORR ON LAST PAGE

[56]

UNITED STATES PATENTS

3,079,219 2/1963 King	260/78	S
3,094,511 6/1963 Hill et al.	260/78	R
3,228,902 1/1966 Beste	260/78	S
3,287,324 11/1966 Sweeny	260/78	R
3,354,127 11/1967 Hill et al	260/78	R
3,554,971 1/1971 Jones et al	260/78	R

Primary Examiner-Harold D. Anderson

[57] ABSTRACT

Fibers of unique internal structure (evidenced by low orientation angle and/or high sonic velocity) and exceptionally high tensile properties (e.g., initial modulus) are prepared from spinning dopes of selected carbocyclic aromatic polyamides in suitable liquid media.

16 Claims, 9 Drawing Figures



Stephanie L. Kwolek

In a polymer research lab at DuPont, Kwolek discovered the super fiber known as Kevlar.

Kevlar, Nomex, and Tyvek

Semi-Break - 3 min

Invention Disclosures

Preceding Patents

Facilitated at UM

List of companies

Evaluated for patentability



Submit Your Innovation

Submitting your innovation or research discovery is the first step that leads to commercialization and licensing. We are ready to evaluate your innovation and provide guidance that maximizes your potential for success.

We welcome...

- novel inventions
- software/digital works,
- creative works/copyright
- research tools/biomaterials,

SUBMIT YOUR INNOVATION

and any innovation that you believe will create transformative change.



Commercialization Basics

We work with you to bring breakthrough discoveries to the widest possible audience through commercialization.

Learn more

Your innovation. Our support.

Not sure what your next steps should be? We're here and we're ready to help you take the next step in your commercialization journey.

CONTACT US CHECK OUT OUR FAQ

https://innovationpartnerships.umich.edu/submit-your-innovation/

Manuscript or segments of a manuscript

To be rewritten by an IP attorney

Prior Art Search

The patent examiner does a thorough prior art search to rule out these reasons for rejection of the application

The invention was already patented or described in another publicly available document prior to US application date.

The subject matter as a whole would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Data in any format that pre-dates the priority date of the application and was/is publicly available or published

Prior Art Search

The patent examiner does a thorough prior art search to rule out these reasons for rejection of the application

The invention was already patented or described in another publicly available document prior to US application date.

The subject matter as a whole would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Data in any format that pre-dates the priority date of the application and was/is publicly available or published







Prior Art Search

The patent examiner does a thorough prior art search to rule out these reasons for rejection of the application

Ur	nited S	tates Patent [19]	[11]	Patent Number:	4,959,453
Swe	eny		[45]	Date of Patent:	Sep. 25, 1990
[54]	PROCESS POLY(PAJ TEREPHT COMPOSI PRODUCH TEREPHT COMPOSI	FOR THE PREPARATION OF A RAPHENYLENE HALAMIDE)FIBROUS GEL ITION AND A PROCESS TO E POLY(PARAPHENYLENE HALAMIDE) PAPER FROM THE ITION	4,072, 4,511, 4,579, U.S. Ser. Japanese	,664 2/1978 Konomi et a ,623 4/1985 Yoon et al. ,895 4/1986 Cuidard et a OTHER PUBLICA No. 7,213,741, filed June Patent Application No.	1
[75]	Inventor:	Wilfred Sweeny, Wilmington, Del.	Oct. 18, 1	1977. Europeid D. A.	daman
[73]	Assignee:	E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Del.	[57]	Examiner—Harold D. Al ABSTRACT	nderson
[21] [22]	Appl. No.: Filed:	332,792 Apr. 3, 1989	A proces poly(para steps of	s for producing a fibrou aphenylene terephthalan placing terephthaloyl	is gel composition of hide) comprising the chloride in reactive
[51] [52]	Int. Cl. ⁵ U.S. Cl 264/232	C08G 69/28 528/336; 162/157.3; 2; 524/104; 524/606; 524/718; 528/348	contact v least one rolidone)	with paraphenylene diam amide-type polar solver , an alkaline earth metal	ine in a solution of at at (e.g. N-methylpyr- salt and the aliphatic
[58]	Field of Se	arch 528/336, 348; 524/104, 524/718, 606	tertiary a ride. Pol	amine, N-methylpyrrolid v(paraphenylene terepht	ine or its hydrochlo- halamide) papers are
·[56]	U.S. 1	References Cited PATENT DOCUMENTS	prepared ent, blend thalamide	by diluting the composiding in a slurry of poly(re) fibers in a precipitation	tion in an amide dilu- araphenylene tereph- ng medium, filtering,
	3,063,966 11/ 3,869,429 3/ 4.011.203 3/	1962 Kwolek et al. 528/204 1975 Blades 260/78 1977 Konomi et al. 260/78	washing,	7 Claims, No Dra	mixture.

Provisional Application

Claims not required

Less expensive to file

Is not examined by patent office

Establishes early filing date

Automatically abandoned after 1 year, unless "regular" application filed

Utility Application

Description of the invention

One or more claims

Drawing(s) if necessary

Oath or declaration signed by inventor

Payment of fee

International Patents

Application must be filed in each country

Patenting cooperation treaties have simplified the process

BUND	ESREPUBLIK DEUTS	CHLAND		C 08 g	
DEUT	SCHES PAT	TENTAMT			
62			Deutsche Kl.:	29 b, 3/60	
	the second			39 b5, 41/02	
		- Sat.			
(1) (1)	Offenlegu	ingss	chrift	1 810 426	
(2)			Aktenzeichen:	P 18 10 426.2	1
22			Anmeldetag:	22. November 1968	
43			Offenlegungstag:	12. Februar 1970	
	A				·
	Ausstellungspriorität:				
30	Unionspriorität				
82	Datum:	12. Juni 19	968		
33	Land:	V. St. V. A	merika	· · ·	
9	Aktenzeichen.	750410			
64	Bezeichnung:	Masse und	aus ihr hergestellt	e Fasern oder Fäden	
				10 (c) (c)	
~	-				
(61)	Zusatz zu:	-			1
62	Ausscheidung aus:				
1	Anmelder:	E. I. du Po	ont de Nemours an	d Company, Wilmington, De	el. (V. St. A
	Vertreter:	Abitz, Dr. 8000 Mün	Ing. Walter; Morf	, Dr. Dieter; Patentanwälte,	
			64 57 · · · ·	177	
1	Als Erfinder benannt:	Kwolek, S	tephanie, Wilming	ton, Del. (V. St. A.)	nî.

From Application Filing to Granted Patent or Abandonment



Start-Up vs Patent

Patent

Foundation of the start-up

Multiple patents

Covers as much as possible

Comparable technologies

Must keep trade secrets

Technical details with ranges

Start-Up

Technological story

Value proposition

As focused as possible

Competitive products

Freedom to operate

Execution of the business plan